Discovering the Power of Human Intention

By

William A Tiller, Ph.D.

A specific intention is, first and foremost, an act of **creation** by a human, whether it be to just crawl or walk across the floor, to make a painting, to throw a football, to craft a poem, to solve a mathematical equation, etc. These are all acts of intention. It is the product of a focused **mind** that may also involve flavors of a directed will, a nourishing heart, a loving emotion, an encouraging spirit, etc.

The quality of an intention relates to the many aspects of the human's consciousness while the power of the intention is strongly dependent on the emotional desire of the intender.

A useful metaphor for a human is to think of he or she as a broadcasting station that creates programs (like a radio or TV station). To maximize the human's communication ability, one needs to (a) greatly broaden their bandwidth of expression (give more things **meaning** and learn to understand these things), (b) greatly increase their signal power (to broadcast to a greater area) and (c) greatly decrease our noise power (fears, uncertainties, incoherence of expression, personal ego flaws, etc). Manifesting an intention is a very important process in the development of humans to a mastery level of performance.

Our very first significant "intention" experiment was to have a meaningfully human influence on a gas-discharge[1,2]. It was possible to show that an intended emission of body energies via the hands placed around this device could activate the ignition of the electrical discharge. Perhaps more importantly, it was possible to show that, as in Figure 1, with a human inside a Faraday cage and, with another Faraday cage surrounding the device ~15 feet distant, the ignition of the gas-discharge phenomenon could be triggered solely by the use of the human's mind[1,2].

© 2013 William A Tiller, All Rights Reserved



Figure 1

What did we learn from this experiment?:

1. We learned that human intention can, in principle, robustly influence this voltage breakdown phenomenon,
2. The relevant human biofield energies involved are **not** electromagnetic in nature since they readily pass through the copper walls of an electrically-grounded Faraday cage,
3. As observed by an excellent clairvoyant, three key subtle energy beams from the human in Figure 1 are cooperatively involved in this phenomenon and
4. Here, the cooperative action of three human chakras appear to be needed for the creation of this particular gas-discharge event.

By 1997 I had learned how to imprint a specific intention into a simple electrical device called an IHD (intention host device) with several colleagues from a deep meditative state. The closing statement of the process was "*so be it, thy will be done*!" Our first four target experiments were designed to test the Descartes assumption of ~1600 AD that "no human qualities of consciousness, intention, emotion, mind or spirit could significantly influence a well-designed target experiment in physical reality". This was an excellent assumption in ~1600 AD but it had not been seriously tested in ~500 years and it was still held **unconsciously** in the minds of today's orthodox scientists and medical practitioners.

Our four carefully designed target experiments were studied with the use of four different IHDs and found to be robustly successful. One of these was successfully replicated in ten different laboratories in the US and Europe. **The Descartes assumption is in great error in today's world!**

© 2013 William A Tiller, All Rights Reserved

Our various steps in the four groundbreaking experiments were (a) to carefully design the four different experiments[3-5]:

The Four Target Experiments

The key experimental step needed to confirm the operational nature of psychoenergetic science was to unequivocally prove, via a series of human intention experiments, that in today's world **the unstated assumption** of orthodox science is **quite wrong!**

Our various steps in the four groundbreaking experiments were (a) to carefully design four different intention experiments:[4]

(1) To **increase** the acid/alkaline balance (pH) of a specific type of water by ΔpH=+1.0 pH units (a factor of 10 decrease in hydrogen ion, H^+, content of the water) with **no** chemical additions to the system,

(2) to **decrease** the pH of this same type of water by ΔpH=-1.0 pH units with **no** chemical additions to the system,

(3) to **increase** the in-vitro thermodynamic activity of a specific liver enzyme, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), by a significant amount (~30% for example) by simply exposing a vial of this ALP to a highly "intention-conditioned" experimental space for about 30 minutes and

(4) to **increase** the in-vivo ratio of ATP/ADP in the cells of fruit fly larvae by a significant amount as a result of lifetime exposure of the larvae to a highly "intention-conditioned" experimental space. This should cause the larvae to become more physically fit and thus significantly lower the larval development time, τ, to the adult fly stage;

(b) run continuous background studies of each experiment in a **normal**, non-intention-conditioned experimental space,

(c) design and utilize four identical simple electrical devices[5], each to serve as a host for one of the four experiments,

(d) design an experimental protocol for humans to mentally/emotionally imprint an intention into each such host device from a deep meditative state[5] and

(e) place the appropriate device within ~0.5 meters of the operating experimental apparatus, plug the device into an electrical outlet, switch it on (total electric power radiated was less than one microwatt) to continuously run in that experimental space and wait for changes to manifest in the continuous data-stream.

For all four experiments, the gathered data conformed to the general pattern of Figure 2[6].

© 2013 William A Tiller, All Rights Reserved



Figure 2. For any typical physical measurement, Q, the qualitative magnitude change, Q_M, is plotted versus the degree of locale conditioning produced by continued IHD use.

Here, Q_M is the magnitude of the measured property under investigation as a function of experimental space processing time, t, via the intention-host device (IHD). Q_{M0} is our background value for a normal space while Q_{M1} is the measured value after the device had intention-conditioned the space to a significantly higher level of physical reality. Non-linear changes in Q_M begin to appear at t_1 ~1 month[6], and always in the direction of the specific intention in the IHD, while it begins to plateau at t_2 ~2 to 3 months. Generally, $Q_{M1} \sim Q_{M0}$ + the intention imprint target goal. Experimentally, we found that for target experiment #1, $\Delta pH = Q_{M1} - Q_{M0} \sim +1.0$ pH units; #2, $\Delta pH \sim -1.0$ pH units; #3, Δ chemical activity ~30% with $p<0.001$ and #4, Δ(ATP/ADP) ~+15% with $p<0.001$ and $\Delta\tau \sim -25\%$ with $p<0.001$[4].

Remarkably, the use of such IHDs in an experimental space used for these four target experiments found that the space **itself** was "conditioned" to a higher level of physical reality and exhibited at least five unique behaviors that are **never** seen in our normal, electrical spacetime reality.

What Have We Learned From Our IHD Space-Conditioning Experiments[4,6]:

1. After performing a set of material property changes in an experimental space and removing all the experimental equipment from that space, the experimental space itself is left with a new set of characteristics. As an example, it sometimes exhibits a DC magnetic field effect such that the pH of the water is **increased** (becomes more alkaline over a 2-3 day period) if a magnet, upon which the water vessel is sitting has the South Pole pointing upwards into the water. If one then turns the DC magnet over so that its North Pole points into the water, the pH moves downwards (becomes more acidic over a 2-3 day period). This is not theoretically possible if the

© 2013 William A Tiller, All Rights Reserved

experimental room is still in its original U(1) Gauge symmetry state, where all magnetic effects are induced by electric charge movement and thus exhibit a dipolar character.

2. In such an IHD-conditioned experimental space, material property measurements like air temperature, water temperature, pH and water electrical conductivity all exhibit an oscillatory nature but with frequencies in the sub-Hertz range of ~10^{-1} to ~10^{-6} cycles per second.
3. When a large quartz crystal exists in the experimental space, the property wave pattern changes in overall pattern, amplitude and individual wave frequency spectrum with respect to any crystal C-axis orientation changes in the room.
4. All property oscillations entrain (nest) with respect to each other (pH, T_A, T_W, etc) at **all locations** of the room as if it is something fundamental about the IHD-conditioned character of the room (even between inside the room and outside in the hall with a closed door separating the sensors).
5. Information entanglement occurs between large rooms at room temperature and over both small and very large distances (~5,000 miles) which is quite different than quantum mechanical entanglement!

Still later, we have learned how to broadcast, via a novel, new, subtle energy (non-electromagnetic) to humans at locations all around the earth to significantly alleviate various modalities of ailments via the use of such specially-designed IHDs. The most recent such on-going adventure is "The Autism Intention Experiment" which also appears to be robustly successful!

What Have We Learned From Our Global Broadcasting Experiments:

1. Broadcasting distance does not appear to be a limitation.
2. Any time-delay between sending and receiving the signal appears to be insignificant, as if the signal velocity is so fast it is not measurable with today's orthodox science EM-technology.
3. Information transfer in several experiments appears to be **acausal**, occurring well before the imprinted IHD has been plugged into a wall socket (as much as **a month** before in the case of the Arizona to Berlin, ΔpH=+1.5 pH units experiment and ~1-2 **weeks** in the self-compassion broadcasting experiment).
4. In the autism intention experiment, all age groups benefited (3 to 31) and all four key skill-sets via ATEC: Communication, sociability, cognitive function and physical health benefited at $p<0.0001$. Their parents, via Zung, also benefited at $p<0.0001$ with remarkably high correlation between the child and the parent[(7)].
5. To date, the intention broadcasting experiments have been as follows:
   a) Significant reduction of depression and anxiety (Missouri to Pennsylvania, several hundred people simultaneously, 2004 with $p<0.001$) after 8 months of broadcasting.
   b) ΔpH=+1.5 units in a water vessel created at a very specific location in Berlin, Germany, with $p<0.001$, 2007 after ~8 months of broadcasting.
   c) Simultaneously, 39 parents and their autistic child, located world-wide with major beneficial changes at $p<0.0001$, 2013.

© 2013 William A Tiller, All Rights Reserved

d) Simultaneously, 55 adults and 16 children participating in a US "generation of self-compassion" 6-month broadcasting experiment began on April 1, 2014.

The larger the number of simultaneous participants, the smaller the unit cost.

Figure 3, below, provides a schematic illustration of a select set of current and future focus areas:



Figure 3

References

1. W.A. Tiller, "A gas-discharge device for investigating focused human intention", Journal of Scientific Exploration, 4 (2), 255 (1990).
2. W.A. Tiller, Science and Human Transformation: Subtle energies, Intentionality and Consciousness (Pavior Publishing, Walnut Creek, CA, 1997, Chapter 1).
3. W.A. Tiller, W.E. Dibble, Jr., and M.J. Kohane, Conscious Acts of Creation: The Emergence of a New Physics, (Pavior Publishing, Walnut Creek, CA, 2001).
4. W.A. Tiller, Psychoenergetic Science: A Second Copernican-Scale Revolution, (Pavior Publishing, Walnut Creek CA, 2007).
5. W.A. Tiller, online document, www.tiller.org, White Paper #XXI, "Psychoenergetic science applied to the mind-body concept".

© 2013 William A Tiller, All Rights Reserved

6. Ibid #3, Chapter 6.
7. Tiller et al, online document, www.tiller.org, White Papers #XXX, #XXXI, #XXXII and #XXXIII.

© 2013 William A Tiller, All Rights Reserved